

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,468	06/23/2003	Venkat Selvamanickam	SPP 20.070	2661
26304	7590 04/20/2005		EXAM	INER
KATTEN M 575 MADISO	UCHIN ZAVIS ROSI	MCNEIL, JE	ENNIFER C	
	NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1775	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,468	SELVAMANICKAM ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jennifer C. McNeil	1775
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re to reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) □ 3 Since this application is in condition for allocated in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,15 and 16 is/a 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10,12-14 and 17-22 is/are reject 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	re withdrawn from consideration	on.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :	accepted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	, T. T.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	, = ,	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/602,468

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group III (now including claims 1-10, 12-14, and 17-22) in the reply filed on January 13, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not clear how the apparatus defines or limits the article made, and the article is not described other than having multiple layers and a substrate. From the specification, Applicant does not appear to be enabled for *every* article having a substrate with a coating thereon.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10, 12, 13, 17, 18, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims refer to a multi-coated substrate tape prepared by translating a substrate to be coated through a MOCVD deposition apparatus, and proceed to describe the apparatus

Application/Control Number: 10/602,468

Art Unit: 1775

used. It is not clear how the apparatus defines or limits the article made, and the article is not described other than having multiple layers and a substrate. Apart from a few dependent claims, it is virtually impossible to derive from the claims what article applicant seeks coverage for.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jia et al (US 6,383,989). Jia teaches a high critical current superconducting tape. The tape may comprise a YSZ coated substrate with the subsequent layer compositions and thicknesses: 1.1 micron YBCO/ 0.22 micron SmBCO/ 1.1 micron YBCO (see example 4). The claims refer to the apparatus used to form the tape. It is the position of the examiner that no structural limitation can be gleaned from the apparatus used to form the article. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process.", (In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964,966). Once the Examiner provides a rationale tending to show that the claimed product appears to be the same or similar to that of the prior art, although produced by a different process, the burden shifts to applicant to come forward with evidence establishing an unobvious different between the claimed product and the prior art product (In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1983), MPEP 2113).

Application/Control Number: 10/602,468

Art Unit: 1775

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jia et al (US 6,383,989) in view of Honjo et al (US 6,610,632). Jia teaches a multilayer superconductor tape as described above, but does not teach application specifically via MOCVD. Honjo teaches that superconductor tapes may be formed by a multitude of methods, including, sputtering, pulse laser vapor deposition, vapor deposition, or MOCVD. Honjo specifically refers to a five layered structure including YBCO formed via MOCVD. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have found it obvious to apply the superconductor tape coatings of Jia by MOCVD as it is clearly taught by Honjo as a commonly known method by which to form superconductor tapes.

The apparatus limitations are not considered to structurally define the final article product.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer C. McNeil whose telephone number is 571-272-1540. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Deborah Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/602,468

Art Unit: 1775

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer McNeil

April 18, 2005